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Your programming journey thus far: "Please just let this program work." (and maybe do so elegantly)

## Collecting Data

Recent technological advancements are enabling greater data collection ubiquitous computing: computers/sensors that are everywhere


## There is a lot of data to store and process.

Take CS 364 (Databases) to learn more about this!

## Other Program Considerations

Consider a piece of software or website you like to use. Would you use it if...
...it didn't do what you expected it to do?
...it prevented your computer from doing anything else while it was running?
...it took many seconds (or even minutes! hours! days!) to complete an action?

Programs that work also need to be usable:
memory-efficient
execute quickly

## Algorithm Analysis

algorithm analysis: determining resources necessary to execute an algorithm
*** typically consider the worst-case scenario ***

Resources considered:
space (i.e., memory)
time (i.e., speed)

Memory is now very cheap and plentiful; speed is the bottleneck

## Why Speed?

Directly affects a user's experience/satisfaction
unhappy users don't continue to use software (unless forced to)


Makes a difference in the performance of critical applications
e.g., self-driving cars need to analyze many inputs and make quick decisions

Determines whether a problem is solvable by a computer within our lifetime ${ }^{1}$

## Big O Notation

big $O$ notation: mathematical notation to characterize the speed of an algorithm as a function of the size of its input (i.e., runtime or computational complexity)
i.e., will more data mean my algorithm takes longer to run? how much longer?


## Big O Notation



Every input we add will proportionally increase the amount of time the algorithm runs
e.g., if an input of size 1 takes 3 units of time, an input of size 2 will take 6 units of time
Not too bad!

## Big O Notation



Every input we add will increase the time necessary to run the algorithm, but not by much

$$
\mathrm{O}(\log (\mathrm{n}))=\text { slight }
$$ increase for each input, but not proportional like $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ $O(1)=$ no increase at all!

Great!
n (\# of inputs)

## Big O Notation



Every input will more than proportionally increase the time to run the algorithm
e.g., if an input of size 1 takes 3 units of time, an input of size 2 might take 7 or 8 units of time, size 10 might take 80 units of time
Is this good? - It depends on the problem
n (\# of inputs)

## Big O Notation


n (\# of inputs)

Every additional input will drastically increase the runtime (more than double!)
e.g., if an input of size 1 takes 3 units of time, an input of size 2 might take 20 units of time

Is this good? - It depends on the problem.

## Really Slow Algorithms

Surprising number of problems that seem easy to solve are actually very difficult for computes to solve
might take millions of years of computational time!
Part of the focus of the question "does $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$ ?"
$P=$ problems that are relatively easy for computers to solve

NP = problems that computers can easily verify a solution to, but are not easy for computers to solve; we can't yet prove definitively that computers cannot solve them in a reasonable amount of time

[^0]2: https://medium.com/omarelgabrys-blog/the-big-scary-o-notation-ce9352d827ce

## Anatomy of an Algorithm

algorithm: a segment of code that solves some problem
calculating a person's age
searching for a number in an array
sorting numbers in an array
All code, including an algorithm, is made up of statements, units of instruction

## Example: Algorithm

```
int a, b;
double c;
a = 5;
b = 3;
c = (a * a) + (b * b);
c = Math.sqrt(c);
```

Each atomic operation (i.e., an operation that cannot be broken down further) is a single unit of work Examples:
declaration
assignment
casting
mathematical operations
return

## Example: Algorithm



Each atomic operation (i.e., an operation that cannot be broken down further) is a single unit of work Examples:
declaration
assignment
casting
mathematical operations return

## Example: Algorithm

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { int } a, ~ b ; & / / 2 \\
\text { double c; } & / / 1 \\
\text { a = 5; } & / / 1 \\
b=3 ; & / / 1 \\
c=(a * a)+(b * b) ; / / 4 \\
\text { // c = Math. sqrt(c) ; } / / \text { ? } \\
\text { // } 2+1+1+1+4=9=0(9)
\end{array}
$$

Add together the runtime of sequential statements

## Simplifying Analysis

int $a, b$;
double c;
// 2
// 1
$a=5 ;$
// 1
$b=3 ; \quad$ // 1
$c=(a * a)+(b * b) ; / / 4$
// c = Math.sqrt(c); // ?
$/ / 2+1+1+1+4=9=0(9)$

Don't want to count out every line is there a difference, practically, between $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(9), \mathrm{O}(20)$ ?
We want to generally describe the shape (i.e., trend) of the graph


## Simplifying Analysis

int $a, b ;$
double c;
// 2
// 1
$a=5 ;$
// 1
$\mathrm{b}=3 ; \quad$ // 1
$c=(a * a)+(b * b) ; / / 4$
// c = Math.sqrt(c); // ?
$/ / 2+1+1+1+4=9=\underline{0(1)}$

Don't want to count out every line is there a difference, practically, between $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(9), \mathrm{O}(20)$ ?
We want to generally describe the shape (i.e., trend) of the graph


## O(1) Algorithm

```
int a, b;
double c;
a = 5;
b = 3;
c = (a * a) + (b * b);
// c = Math.sqrt(c);
```

Algorithm made up entirely of atomic operations

## $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ Algorithm

int[] grades $=/ /$ instantiate and fill new array
for (int $\mathrm{i}=0$; i < grades.length; i++) \{ System.out.print(grades[i] + ", "); \}

## Loop runtimes are calculated by:

1. calculating the runtime of everything inside the loop
2. multiplying that value by the number of times the loop runs (i.e., number of inputs, n)

## $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ Algorithm

```
int[] grades = // 1 to instantiate, n to fill
for (int i = 0; i < grades.length; i++) { // n
    System.out.print(grades[i] + ", "); // 1
}
// 1 + n + n * 1
// 1 + n + n
// 2n + 1
```


## Loop runtimes are calculated by:

1. calculating the runtime of everything inside the loop
2. multiplying that value by the number of times the loop runs (i.e., number of inputs, n)

## Simplifying Analysis

```
int[] grades = // 1 to instantiate, n to fill
for (int i = 0; i < grades.length; i++) { // n
    System.out.print(grades[i] + ", "); // 1
}
// 1 + n + n * 1
// 1 + n + n
// 2n+1
```

Drop all the terms except the most expensive one

2 n (linear) is more expensive than 1 (constant)
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int[] grades = // 1 to instantiate, n to fill
for (int i = 0; i < grades.length; i++) { // n
    System.out.print(grades[i] + ", "); // 1
}
// 1 + n + n * 1
// 1 + n + n
// 2n + 1
// 2n
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Drop all the terms except the most expensive one

2 n (linear) is more expensive than 1 (constant)

## Simplifying Analysis

```
int[] grades = // 1 to instantiate, n to fill
for (int i = 0; i < grades.length; i++) { // n
    System.out.print(grades[i] + ", "); // 1
}
// 1 + n + n * 1
// 1 + n + n
// 2n + 1
// 2n
```

Drop all the terms except the most expensive one

2 n (linear) is more expensive than 1 (constant)

Remove the constant multiplier
remember, we're looking for the trend
of the graph

## Simplifying Analysis

```
int[] grades = // 1 to instantiate, n to fill
for (int i = 0; i < grades.length; i++) { // n
    System.out.print(grades[i] + ", "); // 1
}
// 1 + n + n * 1
// 1 + n + n
// 2n + 1
// 2n
// 0(n)
```

Drop all the terms except the most expensive one

2 n (linear) is more expensive than 1 (constant)

Remove the constant multiplier
remember, we're looking for the trend
of the graph

## Simplifying Analysis

```
int[] grades = // 1 to instantiate, n to fill
for (int i = 0; i < grades.length; i++) { // n
    System.out.print(grades[i] + ", "); // 1
}
// 1 + n + n * 1
// 1 + n + n
// 2n + 1
// 2n
// 0(n)
```



## $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ Algorithm

```
int[][] grades = // instantiate and fill new 2D array
for (int row = 0; row < grades.length; row++) {
    for (int col = 0; col < grades[row].length; col++) {
        System.out.print(grades[row][col] + ", ");
    }
    System.out.println();
}
```

Nested loops work like regular loops:

1. start at innermost loop
2. calculate runtime for that loop
3. move to next outer loop, using result from inner loop as input for outer loop
4. repeat until out of loops

## $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ Algorithm

```
int[][] grades = // will ignore this for now
// n
for (int row = 0; row < grades.length; row++) {
    // n
    for (int col = 0; col < grades[row].length; col++) {
        // 1
        System.out.print(grades[row][col] + ", ");
    }
    // 1
    System.out.println();
}
```

Nested loops work like regular loops:

1. start at innermost loop
2. calculate runtime for that loop
3. move to next outer loop, using result from inner loop as input for outer loop
4. repeat until out of loops

## $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ Algorithm

```
int[][] grades = // will ignore this for now
// n
for (int row = 0; row < grades.length; row++) {
    // n
    for (int col = 0; col < grades[row].length; col++) {
        // 1
        System.out.print(grades[row][col] + ", ");
    }
    // 1
    System.out.println();
}
```

Nested loops work like regular loops:

1. start at innermost loop
2. calculate runtime for that loop
3. move to next outer loop, using result from inner loop as input for outer loop
4. repeat until out of loops

## $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ Algorithm

```
int[][] grades = // will ignore this for now
// n
for (int row = 0; row < grades.length; row++) {
    // n
    for (int col = 0; col < grades[row].length; col++) {
        // 1
        System.out.print(grades[row][col] + ", ");
    }
    // 1
    System.out.println();
}
```

```
// n - inner loop
```

// n - inner loop
// n * (n + 1) - outer loop
// n * (n + 1) - outer loop
// n}\mp@subsup{n}{}{2}+

```
// n}\mp@subsup{n}{}{2}+
```

Nested loops work like regular loops:

1. start at innermost loop
2. calculate runtime for that loop
3. move to next outer loop, using result from inner loop as input for outer loop
4. repeat until out of loops

## Simplifying Analysis

```
int[][] grades = // will ignore this for now
// n
for (int row = 0; row < grades.length; row++) {
    // n
    for (int col = 0; col < grades[row].length; col++) {
        // 1
        System.out.print(grades[row][col] + ", ");
    }
    // 1
    System.out.println();
}
// n - inner loop
// n * (n + 1) - outer loop
// n}\mp@subsup{n}{}{2}+
```

Drop all the terms except the most expensive one
$\mathrm{n}^{2}$ (quadratic) is more expensive than n (linear)

## Simplifying Analysis

```
int[][] grades = // will ignore this for now
// n
for (int row = 0; row < grades.length; row++) {
    // n
    for (int col = 0; col < grades[row].length; col++) {
        // 1
        System.out.print(grades[row][col] + ", ");
    }
    // 1
    System.out.println();
}
// n - inner loop
// n * (n + 1) - outer loop
// n}\mp@subsup{n}{}{2}+
// 0(n2)
```

Drop all the terms except the most expensive one
$\mathrm{n}^{2}$ (quadratic) is more expensive than n (linear)

## Calculating Runtime Complexity

General rules

1. add up the runtime associated with sequential statements
2. reduce to the highest order term
3. remove any constant coefficients (e.g., 2, 3)

Remember, the big O associated with an algorithm is not an exact number of instructions! It describes the trend of the algorithm

## Exercise: Calculating Runtime Complexity

## exercise 1

```
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
```

for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
for (int c = 0; c < arr[r].length; c++) {
for (int c = 0; c < arr[r].length; c++) {
arr[r][c] = r * c;
arr[r][c] = r * c;
}
}
}
}
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
System.out.print(arr[r][0] + " ");
System.out.print(arr[r][0] + " ");
}
}
}

```
}
```


## exercise 2

```
if (r % 2 == 0) {
    arr[r] = r * 2;
}
else {
    int i = arr.length;
    while (i >= 0) {
        arr[r] *= arr[i];
    }
}
```


## Exercise: Calculating Runtime Complexity

## exercise 1

```
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
    for (int c = 0; c < arr[r].length; c++) {
        arr[r][c] = r * c;
    }
}
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
    System.out.print(arr[r][0] + " ");
    }
}
```


## Exercise: Calculating Runtime Complexity

## exercise 1

```
// n
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
    // n
    for (int c = 0; c < arr[r].length; c++) {
        // 2
        arr[r][c] = r * c;
    }
}
// n
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
        // 1
        System.out.print(arr[r][0] + " ");
    }
}
```


## Exercise: Calculating Runtime Complexity

## exercise 1

```
// n
```

// n
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
// n
// n
for (int c = 0; c < arr[r].length; c++) {
for (int c = 0; c < arr[r].length; c++) {
// 2
// 2
arr[r][c] = r * c;
arr[r][c] = r * c;
}
}
}
}
// n
// n
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
for (int r = 0; r < arr.length; r++) {
// 1
// 1
System.out.print(arr[r][0] + " ");
System.out.print(arr[r][0] + " ");
}
}
}
}
//(n*n*2)+(n*1)=2n2}+n=0(n2

```
//(n*n*2)+(n*1)=2n2}+n=0(n2
```


## exercise 2

```
if (r % 2 == 0) {
    arr[r] = r * 2;
}
else {
    int i = arr.length;
    while (i >= 0) {
        arr[r] *= arr[i];
    }
}
```


## Exercise: Calculating Runtime Complexity

exercise 1

exercise 2

```
if (r % 2 == 0) {
    arr[r] = r * 2;
}
else {
    int i = arr.length;
    while (i >= 0) {
        arr[r] *= arr[i];
    }
}
```


## Exercise: Calculating Runtime Complexity

exercise 1

exercise 2

```
// 4
if (r % 2 = 0) {
    arr[r] = r* *;
}
// 0
else {/ 1
    int i = arr.length;
    // n
    while (i >= 0) {
        // 2
        arr[r] *= arr[i];
    }
}
```


## Exercise: Calculating Runtime Complexity

exercise 1


## exercise 2

```
// 4
    if (r % 2 == 0) {
        arr[r] = r * 2;
}
//0
else {/ 1
    int i = arr.length;
    // n
    while (i >= 0) {
        // 2
        arr[r] *= arr[i];
    }
}
// 2 * n + 1 = 2n + 1 = 0(n)
```


## Calculating Runtime Complexity

General rules

1. add up the runtime associated with sequential statements
2. focus on the loops
if there are loops, those are always your most expensive terms
3. reduce to the highest order term
4. remove any constant coefficients (e.g., 2, 3)

Remember, the big O associated with an algorithm is not an exact number of instructions! It describes the trend of the algorithm.

## Best- vs Worst-Case Scenario

```
int[] arr = // instantiate and fill new array
int num = // number we are searching for
for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
    if (arr[i] == num) {
        return i;
    }
}
```

What is the best-case scenario for this particular array?
What is the worst-case scenario?
What are the runtimes for each?


## Best- vs Worst-Case Scenario

```
int[] arr = // instantiate and fill new array
int num = // number we are searching for
for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
    if (arr[i] == num) {
        return i;
    }
}
```



What is the best-case scenario for this particular array?
What is the worst-case scenario?
What are the runtimes for each?

## Best- vs Worst-Case Scenario

```
int[] arr = // instantiate and fill new array
int num = // number we are searching for
for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
    if (arr[i] == num) {
        return i;
    }
}
```

| $\operatorname{arr}$ (int $\square$ ) |
| :--- |
| 5 | |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 27 |



What is the best-case scenario for this particular array?
What is the worst-case scenario?
What are the runtimes for each?

## Best- vs Worst-Case Scenario

```
int[] arr = // instantiate and fill new array
int num = // number we are searching for
for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
    if (arr[i] == num) {
        return i;
    }
}
```

| 0 | 60 | 75 | 83 | 92 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



What is the best-case scenario for this particular array?
What is the worst-case scenario?
What are the runtimes for each?

## Why Is This All Important?

The ability to make informed choices between different algorithms/data structures relies on the ability to...
...understand the data you have and how it is organized (if at all)
... understand the best and worst case scenarios for accessing that data
Doing this well enables you to write more efficient programs!

## Array Lists vs Linked Lists

Seemingly has identical functionally due to the Collections interface
i.e., adding in an array list and linked list will both add the value in the same place Runtime of these operations depends on the data structure

## Exercise: Runtime Analysis

Fill in the following chart with worst-case runtimes
assume array list never needs to grow/shrink as part of the calculations access of a position in an array is $\mathrm{O}(1)$

|  | array list | singly linked list | doubly linked list |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| add value to beginning |  |  |  |
| add value to end |  |  |  |
| remove value at beginning |  |  |  |
| remove value at end |  |  |  |
| search for value (best case) |  |  |  |
| search for value (worst case) |  |  |  |
| access value at position 0 |  |  |  |
| access value at position $n$ |  |  |  |

## Exercise: Runtime Analysis

Fill in the following chart with worst-case runtimes
assume array list never needs to grow/shrink as part of the calculations access of a position in an array is $\mathrm{O}(1)$

|  | array list | singly linked list | doubly linked list |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| add value to beginning | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| add value to end | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| remove value at beginning | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| remove value at end | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| search for value (best case) | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| search for value (worst case) | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ |
| access value at position 0 | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| access value at position $n$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |

## Exercise: Runtime Analysis

Fill in the following chart with runtimes for lists of some arbitrarily long length assume array list never needs to grow/shrink as part of the calculations access of a position in an array is $\mathrm{O}(1)$

|  | array list | singly linked list | doubly linked list |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| add value to beginning | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| add value to end | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| remove value at beginning | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| remove value at end | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| search for value (best case) | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| search for value (worst case) | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ |
| access value at position 0 | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| access value at position $n$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |


[^0]:    1: https://medium.com/@niruhan/p-vs-np-problem-8d2b6fc2b697

