

Week 02 Abstract

CS 220: Software Design II – D. Mathias

) = • =	
Classes 8	Interfaces

Inheritance Example





Advantages of Inheritance

- Can store objects of related (but different) types in a single data structure data structures store only one type of object

 - can use type conformance to store objects of different types that have a common ancestor
 - more on this later, but should be familiar from CS 120
 - e.g., Employee[] can store objects of type Professor, Lecturer, ADA ...

- But what if what ties these objects together are just abstract traits?
 - e.g., employees accrue money towards retirement, but how that is calculated depends on the type of employee (e.g., faculty, staff)

Option 1: provide a default implementation in the parent class, override in the child class(es) as needed

Option 2: provide implementations only in the child classes

Option 3: use *abstract classes* Can specify that a method is required, but defer implementation to a child class





Option 1: provide a default implementation in the parent class, override in the child class(es) as needed

Problem: now have a default implementation that is meaningless

i.e., what does it mean to just be an employee?



public class Faculty extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 9 * 0.05;
 }
}

public class Staff extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 12 * 0.05;
 }

Option 2: provide implementations only in the child classes

Problem: can no longer leverage the benefits of inheritance/type conformance: if we have an array. of objects that conform to Employee, the array type is Employee[] but we can't apply calcRetirement method to a Faculty object in the array because it doesn't exist for Employee.



public class Faculty extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 9 * 0.05;
 }
}

public class Staff extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 12 * 0.05;
 }

Option 2: provide implementations only in the child classes

this will not work without casting, as Employee
does not have a calcRetirement() method

Employee[] allEmps;
// allEmps filled with all Employee objects
for (int i = 0; i < allEmps.length; i++) {
 total += allEmps[i].calcRetirement());</pre>





public class Faculty extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 9 * 0.05;
 }
}

public class Staff extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 12 * 0.05;
 }

Option 2: provide implementations only in the child classes

Remember: what we can do with an object depends on the variable; how it behaves depends on the object.

Employee[] allEmps;
// allEmps filled with all Employee objects
for (int i = 0; i < allEmps.length; i++) {
 total += allEmps[i].calcRetirement());</pre>



public abstract class Employee {
 public abstract double calcRetirement();
}

public class Faculty extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 9 * 0.05;
 }
}

public class Staff extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 12 * 0.05;
}

Option 3: use *abstract classes* Can specify that a method is required, but defer implementation to a child class

public abstract class Employee {
 public abstract double calcRetirement();
}

public class Faculty extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 9 * 0.05;
 }
}

public class Staff extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 12 * 0.05
 }
}

Option 3: use abstract classes

Parent class specifies that all child classes should have a particular method signature, but allows the child classes to provide the implementation

No meaningless default method

public abstract class Employee {
 public abstract double calcRetirement();
}

public class Faculty extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 9 * 0.05;
 }
}

public class Staff extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 12 * 0.05;
 }
}

Option 3: use *abstract classes* Child classes can then provide their own unique implementation according to their needs

public abstract class Employee {
 public abstract double calcRetirement();
}

public class Faculty extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 9 * 0.05;
 }
}

public class Staff extends Employee {
 public double calcRetirement() {
 return yrsWrkd * avgSalary / 12 * 0.05;
}

Option 3: use abstract classes

this **does** work, since every class that extends from Employee is guaranteed to have some implementation of this method

Employee[] allEmps;
// allEmps filled with all Employee objects
for (int i = 0; i < allEmps.length; i++) {
 total += allEmps[i].calcRetirement());</pre>



Another Example

Want to have Shape class as a superclass to various shapes

e.g., Triangle, Oval

All shapes have common properties (e.g., area, perimeter), but calculating them varies by the actual shape we are calculating it for

i.e., it is meaningless to calculate the area of a Shape object

Representing the Abstract

Want to defer implementation of a method to later classes may not be defined in the immediate context! abstract class: a class that defines the shared properties of subclasses without always providing definitions

zero or more methods can be non-abstract, i.e., they have a definition

look just like methods you've seen before

cannot be instantiated

because some methods are not yet defined can be subclassed

- zero or more methods can be defined as *abstract*, meaning they have no definition

Purpose of Abstract Classes

- Provide shared attributes and methods for subclasses
- Aide in type conformance
- Allow us to represent something that is a category/group for which the relationship is not tangible

Abstract Classes in Action



Uses the Java keyword abstract Applied in two places:

methods without an implementation

the class signature for the class that contains the abstract method(s)

Class can still have...

attributes

non-abstract methods/constructor

inheritance

Abstract Classes in Action



public class Faculty extends Employee {
 public Faculty(String fn, String ln) {
 super(fn, ln);
 }

Constructor **cannot** be used to instantiate a new abstract object

the following is incorrect syntax

Employee e = new Employee("Tim", "Smith");

Constructor is only used by child classes

sets attributes shared across child classes





Inheritance Example



Child classes can either be abstract or not

abstract classes can provide implementations for zero or more of the inherited abstract methods

can also add new abstract methods

non-abstract classes must provide implementations for **all** inherited abstract methods

Faculty didn't provide an implementation from Employee? Professor and Lecturer must

Faculty did provide an implementation from Employee? Professor and Lecturer can inherit that, or can override it

Exercise

Write the abstract class Staff, which extends Employee add a new int attribute vacationTime, which is set in the constructor Write the class ADA, which extends Staff the constructor should automatically set the vacation time to 15 you may choose how to implement the abstract method

- add a new public abstract method calculateVacationAccrual(), which returns double

Inheritance Revisited

Tying classes together with inheritance establishes an *is-a* relationship a professor *is-a* faculty member *is-a* employee... these classes have shared capabilities, but might not be directly related

- Sometimes, we want to tie classes together that are not so closely related

An Outlandish Example (to illustrate the point)

You are in the middle of a large room when a zombie enters. There are no items within your reach. You yell to a friend near several items: "Quick! Throw me something I can injure the zombie with!"

What are the desired properties of an item your friend should throw you? What are some items that fulfill those properties?

Interfaces

interface: a construct where you can specify what actions a class implementing that interface should be able to take

no attributes

no constructor

cannot be instantiated, like abstract classes

no method implementations

(except default methods starting in Java 8, but it's a minor use case we're going to skip)

"I need to guarantee that this class can do the following..."

Interfaces in Action

public interface ZombieTool {
 public void hurtZombie();

}

Uses the Java keyword interface replaces class in the class signature Just a list of method signatures can be one or more no need to include abstract, which is assumed in an interface

Interfaces in Action

public interface ZombieTool { public void hurtZombie(); }

public class Shovel implements ZombieTool { public void hurtZombie() { System.out.println("Hit zombie!"); }

public class Dinosaur implements ZombieTool { public void hurtZombie() { System.out.println("Eat zombie!");

Classes that implement this interface have two changes:

- must add implements to the class signature
- must provide implementations of <u>all</u> methods
- Notice how otherwise unrelated Shovel and Dinosaur are

Interfaces in Action

public interface ZombieTool { public void hurtZombie(); }

public interface DiggingTool { public void dig(); public void dig(int howDeep); }

public class Shovel implements ZombieTool, DiggingTool { public void hurtZombie() { System.out.println("Hit zombie!"); } public void dig() { System.out.println("Digging..."); } public void dig(int howDeep) { System.out.println("Dug "

Can even implement from multiple interfaces

Provides a form of multiple inheritance in Java

```
+ howDeep + " feet"); }
```

Interfaces in UML



Representation similar to inheritance Key differences

can point to more than one interface use of dashed line

Interface Uses

- 1. Establishing commonly used functionality across disparate classes the compareTo method is useful for determining order between two objects part of the Comparable interface
- 2. Allowing multiple inheritance can be dangerous; not covered in CS 220
- 3. Setting a contract that classes from third-party programmers can use not covered in CS 220



- Write the interface CourseAdmin add the method public String getCourses(); add the method public void addCourses(String course); add the method public boolean removeCourse(String course);



Now, have both Faculty and ADA implement this interface

What do you think will happen in Faculty regarding method implementation?

Comparable Interface

Comparable {interface}

+ compareTo(Object o) : int

Professor as = new Professor("Sauppe", "Allie"); Professor dm = new Professor("Mathias", "David"); as.compareTo(dm);

Compares two objects to determine order what this means is up to the programmer!

<this>.compareTo(<other>) returns...



- 0 if the objects are equal
- -1 if this object is less than the other object
- 1 if this object is greater than the other object



Abstract Classes vs Interfaces

Abstract Classes

- allows for attribute/method sharing among related classes (inheritance)
- allow for method conformance among related classes
- allows for some implementation, but not required

Interfaces

allows for method conformance among unrelated classes

can enable something akin to multiple inheritance

Which To Choose?

Want to relate related classes? Potentially provide some implementation? abstract class

What to guarantee that otherwise-unrelated classes share a particular functionality? No need to provide an implementation? interfaces

In reality, it's a bit of an art form I'll help you decide (or sometimes tell you which to use)

Revisiting Subtypes

Abstract classes and interfaces are also considered potential types

Any class that extends or implements these is considered a subtype

interface supertype

and thus, you can store an object of that type into a variable of the abstract class/